

ANTON HANDAL (Bar No. 113812)  
anh@handal-law.com  
PAMELA C. CHALK (Bar No. 216411)  
pchalk@handal-law.com  
GABRIEL HEDRICK (Bar No. 220649)  
ghedrick@handal-law.com  
HANDAL & ASSOCIATES  
750 B Street, Suite 2510  
San Diego, California 92101  
Tel: 619.544.6400  
Fax: 619.696.0323

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
e.Digital Corporation

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**'15CV0315 JAH RBB**

e.Digital Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

MemoRight Corporation; MemoRight  
International Inc. (aka MemoRight  
USA); and, First Commercial  
Technologies Inc.,

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation (“e.Digital” or “Plaintiff”), by and through its undersigned counsel, complains and alleges against Defendant MemoRight Corporation; MemoRight International Inc. (aka MemoRight USA); and, First Commercial Technologies Inc. (referred to hereafter as “ ” or “Defendant” or “Defendants”) as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is a civil action for infringement of a patent arising under the laws of the United States relating to patents, 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, including, without limitation, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281. Plaintiff e.Digital seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction and monetary damages for the infringement of its U.S.

1 Patent No. 5,839,108.

2 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3 2. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent  
4 infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and pursuant to the patent laws  
5 of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*

6 3. Venue properly lies within the Southern District of California  
7 pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b). On  
8 information and belief, Defendant conducts substantial business directly and/or  
9 through third parties or agents in this judicial district by selling and/or offering to  
10 sell the infringing products and/or by conducting other business in this judicial  
11 district. Furthermore, Plaintiff e.Digital is headquartered and has its principal  
12 place of business in this district, engages in business in this district, and has been  
13 harmed by Defendant's conduct, business transactions and sales in this district.

14 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on  
15 information and belief, Defendant transacts continuous and systematic business  
16 within the State of California and the Southern District of California. In addition,  
17 this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because, on information  
18 and belief, this lawsuit arises out of Defendant's infringing activities, including,  
19 without limitation, the making, using, selling and/or offering to sell infringing  
20 products in the State of California and the Southern District of California. Finally,  
21 this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and  
22 belief, Defendant has made, used, sold and/or offered for sale its infringing  
23 products and placed such infringing products in the stream of interstate commerce  
24 with the expectation that such infringing products would be made, used, sold  
25 and/or offered for sale within the State of California and the Southern District of  
26 California.

27 5. Upon information and belief, certain of the products manufactured by  
28 Defendant have been and/or are currently sold and/or offered for sale by Defendant

1 and/or via its distributors such as First Commercial Technologies Inc. to  
2 customers, consumers, and/or end-users located, among other places, within the  
3 State of California.

4 **PARTIES**

5 6. Plaintiff e.Digital is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and  
6 principal place of business at 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 120, San Diego,  
7 California 92127.

8 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant MemoRight Corporation is a  
9 Taiwan business entity organized and existing under the laws of the People's  
10 Republic of China ("China"), with an office and principal place of business located  
11 at 9F, 535, Zhongzheng Rd, Xindian Dist., New Taipei City 231, Taiwan, People's  
12 Republic of China.

13 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant MemoRight International  
14 Inc. (aka MemoRight USA) is a corporation registered and lawfully existing under  
15 the laws of the State of California, with an office and principal place of business  
16 located at 1294 Kifer Road, Suite 706, Sunnyvale, CA 94086.

17 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant First Commercial  
18 Technologies Inc. is a corporation registered and lawfully existing under the laws  
19 of the State of California, with an office and principal place of business located at  
20 311 North Robertson Blvd., Suite 360, Beverly Hills, CA 90211. Upon  
21 information and belief, First Commercial Technologies Inc. is a distributor of  
22 MemoRight's products in the United States.

23 **THE ASSERTED PATENT**

24 10. On November 17, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark  
25 Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,839,108 ("the '108  
26 patent") entitled "Flash Memory File System In A Handheld Record And Playback  
27 Device," to its named inventors Norbert P. Daberko and Richard K. Davis.  
28 Plaintiff e.Digital is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in

1 and to the '108 patent and has the right to bring this suit for damages and other  
2 relief. A true and correct copy of the '108 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

3 **COUNT ONE**

4 **INFRINGEMENT OF THE '108 PATENT BY DEFENDANT**

5 11. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the  
6 allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 10 above.

7 12. The accused products include but are not limited to Defendant's Flash  
8 Memory Storage products including but not limited to its Compact Flash Card  
9 products, CFast card products, mSATA products, SATA SSD products, PATA  
10 SSD products, IDE DOM products, SATA DOM products, eMMC products, M.2  
11 PCIe products, half slim SATA SSD products, and/or NAND Controller products.  
12 The primary and substantial purpose of the accused products is to write to and  
13 store data in electronic format in non-volatile flash memory.

14 13. Defendant has directly and indirectly infringed and is directly and  
15 indirectly infringing Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et*  
16 *seq.*, by making, using, offering for sale, selling in the United States and/or  
17 importing into the United States without authority, the accused products identified  
18 above. Claim 1 of the '108 patent teaches a method of memory management for a  
19 non-volatile storage medium. The method comprises several steps, which generally  
20 involves, without limitation, writing electronic data segments from volatile,  
21 temporary memory to a non-volatile, long-term storage medium by linking data  
22 segments according to a number of specified steps.

23 14. Plaintiff alleges that at least as of the date of the filing of the  
24 originally filed complaint in this matter, if not sooner, Defendant knew or should  
25 have known of the existence of Claim 1 of the '108 patent and the fact that the  
26 accused products infringe said Claim 1.

27 15. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sold, sells, offers to sell, ships, or  
28 otherwise delivers the accused products to customers or end-users with all the

1 features required to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent. Upon information and  
2 belief, Defendant knows that the accused products infringe Claim 1 of the '108  
3 patent and intends to induce third parties to include its customers and end-users to  
4 also infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent.

5 16. Upon information and belief, the accused products, alone or in  
6 combination with other products, directly or, alternatively, under the doctrine of  
7 equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent Claim 1 of the '108  
8 patent when they are used for their normal and intended purpose of writing to and  
9 storing electronic data on non-volatile memory. Thus, Defendant directly infringes  
10 Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) when it demonstrates,  
11 tests or otherwise uses the accused products in the United States.

12 17. By way of example, certain website(s) publish the Defendants'  
13 datasheets and descriptions of the features and functionality of the accused  
14 products. Upon information and belief, customers and end-users are provided  
15 information in such publications concerning how to use of the accused products in  
16 a way that infringes Claim 1. Such conduct evidences Defendant's act of direct  
17 infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent.

18 18. Plaintiff also alleges on information and belief that Defendant uses,  
19 makes, sells, offers to sell and/or imports the accused products knowing that they  
20 will be used by its customers and end-users for writing and storing electronic data  
21 to non-volatile memory utilizing the steps described in Claim 1 of the '108 patent.  
22 Defendant's product literature, datasheets, instructional materials, brochures, and  
23 other informational materials and encourage customers to use the accused  
24 product(s) knowing that the accused products utilize the methods of memory  
25 management taught by Claim 1 of the '108 patent and in a manner it knows  
26 infringes upon Claim 1 of the '108 patent.

27 19. Defendant also provides instructional and/or informational material  
28 that instruct customers and end-users on how to connect the accused products and

1 use them as non-volatile storage devices for electronic data. Among other things,  
 2 Defendant's informational materials lay out step-by-step instructions on how to  
 3 write data into the memory of the accused products – a process that utilizes the  
 4 method disclosed in Claim 1 of the '108 patent and which Defendant knows (at the  
 5 least as of the filing of the original complaint if not sooner) infringes the method  
 6 taught in Claim 1 of the '108 patent. Plaintiff believes that Defendant directs  
 7 consumers and end-users to consult and utilize such instructional material.

8 20. Plaintiff believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant is aware that  
 9 its customers and end-users are using the accused products in an infringing manner  
 10 based on, among other things, the fact that Defendant encourages its customers and  
 11 end-users to use the accused products in an infringing manner as set forth in the  
 12 preceding Paragraphs.

13 21. As alleged above, incorporated herewith, and based upon information  
 14 and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, without authority, has induced and  
 15 continues to induce infringement of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §  
 16 271(b) inasmuch as:

- 17 a. The accused products infringe Claim 1 during the normal use of
- 18 the accused products by Defendant's customers and/or end-users;
- 19 b. Defendant has known and has been continuously aware of the
- 20 '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this
- 21 action, if not sooner;
- 22 c. Defendant has acted in a manner that encourages and continues to
- 23 encourage others to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent by, among
- 24 other things, intentionally instructing and/or encouraging
- 25 customers and end-users to use the accused products in a manner
- 26 that Defendant knows or should have known would cause them to
- 27 infringe the '108 patent;
- 28 d. Defendant sells, distributes, and supplies the accused products to

1 customers and end-users with the intent that the products be used  
2 in an infringing manner;

3 e. Defendant provides instructional and/or informational material  
4 designed to instruct customers and end-users to use the products  
5 in an infringing manner; and,

6 f. Defendant advertises, markets, and promotes the use of the  
7 accused products in an infringing manner.

8 22. As alleged above, incorporated herewith, and based upon information  
9 and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has contributed and continues to  
10 contribute to the infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35  
11 U.S.C. § 271(c) inasmuch as:

12 a. The accused products infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent during  
13 the normal use of the accused products by Defendant's customers  
14 and/or end-users;

15 b. Defendant has known and has been continuously aware of the  
16 '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this  
17 action, if not sooner;

18 c. Defendant imports into the United States, sells and/or offers to  
19 sell within the United States products that (a) practice the method  
20 of memory management of Claim 1 of the '108 patent; and, (b)  
21 Defendant knows that the same constitute material infringing  
22 component(s) of the accused products, which were made and/or  
23 especially adapted for use in the accused products;

24 d. The memory management component(s) and methods of the  
25 accused products are not staple articles of commerce suitable for  
26 substantial non-infringing use with respect to the '108 patent; and,

27 e. Defendant sells, has sold, and/or has supplied the accused  
28 products knowing of Plaintiff's '108 patent and knowing that the

1 accused products incorporate Plaintiff's patented method and/or  
2 were specially adapted for use in a way which infringes the '108  
3 patent.

4 23. As alleged above, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant had notice of the  
5 '108 patent and knowledge of infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent since at  
6 least the filing of the original complaint in this matter, if not sooner. Defendant has  
7 and continues to sell products that practice the '108 patent after acquiring  
8 knowledge of infringement.

9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- 11 1. That Defendants be declared to have infringed the Patent-in-Suit;
- 12 2. That Defendants, Defendants officers, agents, servants, employees,  
13 and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, be  
14 preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patent-in-Suit,  
15 including but not limited to any making, using, offering for sale, selling, or  
16 importing of unlicensed infringing products within and without the United States;
- 17 3. Compensation for all damages caused by Defendants' infringement of  
18 the Patent-in-Suit to be determined at trial;
- 19 4. A finding that this case is exceptional and an award of reasonable  
20 attorneys fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- 21 5. Granting Plaintiff pre-and post-judgment interest on its damages,  
22 together with all costs and expenses; and,
- 23 6. Awarding such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

24 **HANDAL & ASSOCIATES**

25 Dated: February 13, 2015

26 By: /s/Anton N. Handal  
27 Anton N. Handal  
28 Pamela C. Chalk  
Gabriel G. Hedrick  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
e.Digital Corporation

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims.

**HANDAL & ASSOCIATES**

Dated: February 13, 2015

By: /s/Anton N. Handal  
Anton N. Handal  
Pamela C. Chalk  
Gabriel G. Hedrick  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
e.Digital Corporation

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on this date to all counsel of record, if any to date, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per CivLR 5.4(d). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile and/or overnight delivery upon their appearance in this matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 13th day of February, 2015 at San Diego, California.

**HANDAL & ASSOCIATES**

Dated: February 13, 2015

By: /s/Anton N. Handal  
Anton N. Handal  
Pamela C. Chalk  
Gabriel G. Hedrick  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
e.Digital Corporation